UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JASON CENTERS,

Plaintiff Case No: 2:23-cv-11036

HON: BERNARD A. FRIEDMAN

VS.

AMTRAK,

Defendants

DENNIS O'BRYAN (P30545)
O'BRYAN LAW
Attorney for Plaintiff
401 S. Old Woodward, Suite 463
Birmingham, MI 48009
(248) 258-6262/Fax: (248) 259-6047
dob@obryanlaw.net

TARA S. CANNATELLA (P67532)
DENNIS W. KLIMEK (P80214)
HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA, P.C
Attorneys for Defendant Amtrak
1001 Woodward Ave., Suite 900
Detroit, MI 48226
(313) 963-1860/Fax: 963-9065

tcannatella@mhutchlaw.com dklimek@mhutchlaw.com

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT AMTRAK

NOW COMES the Defendant, AMTRAK, by and through its Attorneys HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C. and answers Plaintiff's Complaint against it as follows:

COMPLAINT

1. Defendant pleads no contest that it conducts business in the eastern portion of the lower peninsula of Michigan, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in this paragraph and, therefore, demands that Plaintiff prove same.

HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA PC 1001 WOODWARD. SUITE 900 □ DETROIT MICHIGAN 48226 □ (313) 963-1860

- 2. Defendant denies any violation of the Federal Employers' Liability Act (FELA) (45 USC 51, et seq.), but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in this paragraph and, therefore, demands that Plaintiff prove same.
- Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in this paragraph and, therefore, demands that Plaintiff prove same.
- 4. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in this paragraph and, therefore, demands that Plaintiff prove same.
- 5. Defendant denies the averments contained in this paragraph including subparagraphs a. g. for the reason they are not true.

WHEREFORE, Defendant AMTRAK, by and through its Attorneys HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C., respectfully requests this Honorable Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint against it and award costs and attorney fees as provided by law.

HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C.

By /s/ Dennis W. Klimek

TARA S. CANNATELLA (P67532) DENNIS W. KLIMEK (P80214)

Attorney for Defendant Amtrak 1001 Woodward Ave., Suite 900 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 963-1860/Fax: 963-9065

<u>dklimek@mhutchlaw.com</u> <u>tcannatella@mhutchlaw.com</u>

Dated: August 29, 2023

RELIANCE UPON JURY DEMAND

NOW COMES Defendant, AMTRAK, by and through its Attorneys HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C., and hereby relies upon the jury demand previously filed in the captioned cause of action.

HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C.

By /s/ Dennis W. Klimek

TARA S. CANNATELLA (P67532)
DENNIS W. KLIMEK (P80214)
Attornov for Defendant Amtrok

Attorney for Defendant Amtrak 1001 Woodward Ave., Suite 900 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 963-1860/Fax: 963-9065

dklimek@mhutchlaw.com tcannatella@mhutchlaw.com

Dated: August 29, 2023

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

NOW COMES the Defendant AMTRAK, by and through its Attorneys, HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C., and moves this Honorable Court for Summary Disposition pursuant to MCR 2.116 for the following reasons:

- 1. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which any relief may be granted.
- Defendant was not engaging in commerce between states at the time of Plaintiff's alleged loss.
 - 3. Plaintiff's actions were not in furtherance of interstate or foreign commerce.
- 4. Plaintiff's injuries are the result of his own actions and not of any acts of Defendant.
- 5. That Plaintiff's claims are not causally related to or associated with any injuries allegedly sustained as a result of the accident complained of.
- A third party, for whom defendant is not liable, was the cause of Plaintiff's accident.
 - 7. Plaintiff's alleged injuries are the result of a preexisting condition.
 - 8. Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages.
 - 9. Plaintiff was contributorily negligent.
 - 10. Defendant's actions were not negligent.
 - 11. Defendant's actions did not cause or contribute to Plaintiff's alleged injuries.
- 12. Defendant paid money to, and Plaintiff received, a payout from insurance, a relief benefit, or an indemnity, and defendant is entitled to an offset for the amount paid.
- 13. Defendant reserves the right to file additional Affirmative Defenses revealed during the course of discovery.

HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C.

By /s/ Dennis W. Klimek
TARA S. CANNATELLA (P67532)

DENNIS W. KLIMEK (P80214)

Attorney for Defendant Amtrak 1001 Woodward Ave., Suite 900 Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 963-1860/Fax: 963-9065

dklimek@mhutchlaw.com tcannatella@mhutchlaw.com

Dated: August 29, 2023

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Dennis W. Klimek, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that on August 31, 2023, he served a copy of Amtrak's Answer to Complaint, Reliance on Jury Demand and Affirmative Defenses via the Eastern District of Michigan ECF filing system, which will notify the following:

DENNIS O'BRYAN (P30545) O'BRYAN LAW Attorney for Plaintiff 401 S. Old Woodward, Suite 463 Birmingham, MI 48009 (248) 258-6262/Fax: (248) 259-6047 dob@obryanlaw.net

I declare the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

HUTCHINSON CANNATELLA P.C.

By /s/ Dennis W. Klimek

TARA S. CANNATELLA (P67532) **DENNIS W. KLIMEK (P80214)** Attorneys for Defendant Amtrak 1001 Woodward Ave, Ste 900 Detroit, MI 48226 (313) 963-1860 / Fax: 963-9065 tcannatella@mhutchlaw.com dklimek@mhutchlaw.com

Dated: August 31, 2023 Amtrak's Ans to Complaint – DWK 08-31-23